

# FILTER REFLECTION AND THE BOREL REDUCIBILITY

MIGUEL MORENO INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF VIENNA. FWF MEITNER-PROGRAM  
JOINT WORK WITH GABRIEL FERNANDES AND ASSAF RINOT.

## CAPTURING CLUBS

Suppose  $X$  and  $S$  are stationary subsets of  $\kappa$ , and  $\vec{\mathcal{F}} = \langle \mathcal{F}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in S \rangle$  is a sequence such that, for each  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  is a filter over  $\alpha$ .

We say that  $\vec{\mathcal{F}}$  captures clubs iff, for every club  $C \subseteq \kappa$ , the set  $\{\alpha \in S \mid C \cap \alpha \notin \mathcal{F}_\alpha\}$  is non-stationary.

*Example.* When  $\vec{\mathcal{F}}$  is the sequence of club filters,  $\vec{\mathcal{F}}$  captures clubs.

## FILTER REFLECTION

We say that  $X$   $\vec{\mathcal{F}}$ -reflects to  $S$  iff  $\vec{\mathcal{F}}$  captures clubs and, for every stationary  $Y \subseteq X$ , the set  $\{\alpha \in S \mid Y \cap \alpha \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha^+\}$  is stationary.

We say that  $X$   $\mathfrak{f}$ -reflects to  $S$  iff there exists a sequence of filters  $\vec{\mathcal{F}}$  over a stationary subset  $S'$  of  $S$  such that  $X$   $\vec{\mathcal{F}}$ -reflects to  $S'$ .

## REFLECTION WITH DIAMOND

We say that  $X$   $\vec{\mathcal{F}}$ -reflects with  $\diamond$  to  $S$  iff  $\vec{\mathcal{F}}$  captures clubs and there exists a sequence  $\langle Y_\alpha \mid \alpha \in S \rangle$  such that, for every stationary  $Y \subseteq X$ , the set  $\{\alpha \in S \mid Y_\alpha = Y \cap \alpha \ \& \ Y \cap \alpha \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha^+\}$  is stationary.

We say that  $X$   $\mathfrak{f}$ -reflects with  $\diamond$  to  $S$  whenever there exists a stationary  $S' \subseteq S$  and sequence of filters  $\vec{\mathcal{F}} = \langle \mathcal{F}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in S' \rangle$  such that  $X$   $\vec{\mathcal{F}}$ -reflects with  $\diamond$  to  $S'$ .

## EXAMPLES

Vanilla stationary reflection is a particular case of filter reflection, this is when  $\vec{\mathcal{F}}$  is the sequence of club filters.

Suppose  $V = L$ . Then, for every stationary  $S \subseteq \kappa$ ,  $\kappa$   $\mathfrak{f}$ -reflects with  $\diamond$  to  $S$ . In particular, filter reflection holds at any non weakly compact cardinal.

## FORCING FILTER REFLECTION

For all stationary subsets  $X$  and  $S$  of  $\kappa$ , there exists a  $<\kappa$ -closed  $\kappa^+$ -cc forcing extension, in which  $X$   $\mathfrak{f}$ -reflects to  $S$ .

## FAILURE OF FILTER REFLECTION

There exists a cofinality-preserving forcing extension in which for all two disjoint stationary subsets  $X, S$  of  $\kappa$ ,  $X$  does not  $\mathfrak{f}$ -reflect to  $S$ .

## FORCING-PRESERVING FILTER REFLECTION

**Definition.** We say that a sequence  $\vec{\mathcal{F}} = \langle \mathcal{F}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in S \rangle$  is  $\theta$ -complete if the set  $\{\alpha \in S \mid \mathcal{F}_\alpha \text{ is not } \theta\text{-complete}\}$  is non-stationary.

**Theorem.** Suppose  $\vec{\mathcal{F}} = \langle \mathcal{F}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in S \rangle$  is a  $\theta$ -complete sequence. Suppose  $\mathbb{P}$  is a forcing notion with  $\theta$ -cc and  $\kappa$ -stationary-cc, and  $X \subseteq \kappa$  is a stationary set such that  $X$   $\vec{\mathcal{F}}$ -reflects to  $S$ . Then  $\mathbb{P}$  forces that  $X$   $\mathfrak{f}$ -reflects to  $S$ .

Notice that for any regular uncountable cardinal  $\theta < \kappa$ , if  $S \subseteq \text{cof}(\geq \theta)$ , then for every  $\alpha \in S$ , the club filter over  $\alpha$  is  $\theta$ -complete. **Therefore, forcing with  $\theta$ -cc and  $\kappa$ -stationary-cc cannot destroy vanilla stationary reflection.**

## REDUCIBILITY

**Definition.** For  $i < 2$ , let  $X_i$  be some space from the collection  $\{\theta^\kappa \mid \theta \in [2, \kappa]\}$ . Let  $R_0$  and  $R_1$  be binary relations over  $X_0$  and  $X_1$ , respectively. A function  $f : X_0 \rightarrow X_1$  is said to be a reduction of  $R_0$  to  $R_1$  iff, for all  $\eta, \xi \in X_0$ ,

$$\eta R_0 \xi \text{ iff } f(\eta) R_1 f(\xi).$$

The existence of a continuous function  $f$  that is a reduction is denoted by  $R_0 \hookrightarrow_c R_1$ . We likewise define  $R_0 \hookrightarrow_B R_1$  once we replace continuous by Borel

## RELATIONS

**Defintion.** For every  $\theta \in [2, \kappa]$ , the equivalence relation  $=_S^\theta$  over  $\theta^\kappa$  is defined via

$$\eta =_S^\theta \xi \text{ iff } \{\alpha \in S \mid \eta(\alpha) \neq \xi(\alpha)\} \text{ is non-stationary.}$$

**Defintion.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter over  $\alpha$ . For every  $\theta \in [2, \kappa]$ , the equivalence modulo  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\sim_{\mathcal{F}}^\theta$ , over  $\theta^\alpha$ , is define via

$$(\eta, \xi) \in \sim_{\mathcal{F}}^\theta \text{ iff } \{\beta < \alpha \mid \eta(\beta) = \xi(\beta)\} \in \mathcal{F}$$

## THEOREM 1

If  $X$   $\mathfrak{f}$ -reflects with  $\diamond$  to  $S$ , then  $=_X^\kappa \hookrightarrow_c =_S^2$ .

## THEOREM 2

Suppose Martin's Maximum (MM) holds,  $\kappa \geq \aleph_2$ ,  $X \subseteq \kappa \cap \text{cof}(\omega)$  is stationary, and  $S = \kappa \cap \text{cof}(\omega_1)$ . If  $\diamond_X$  holds, then  $X$  reflects with  $\diamond$  to  $S$ .

*Remark.* MM implies that for every successor  $\kappa$  of a singular strong limit of uncountable cofinality,  $=_X^\kappa \hookrightarrow_c =_S^2$  for  $X = E_\omega^\kappa$  and  $S = E_{\omega_1}^\kappa$ .

## FILTERED FUNCTIONS

**Definition.** For every  $\theta, \gamma \in [2, \kappa]$ ,  $F : \theta^\kappa \rightarrow \gamma^\kappa$ , and  $\vec{\mathcal{F}} = \langle \mathcal{F}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in S \rangle$  a sequence of filters. We say that  $F$  captures  $\vec{\mathcal{F}}$  if the following holds: For all  $\alpha \in S$  and  $\eta, \xi \in \theta^\alpha$ ,  $\eta \upharpoonright \alpha \sim_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha}^\theta \xi \upharpoonright \alpha$  iff  $F(\eta)(\alpha) = F(\xi)(\alpha)$ .

## MAIN THEOREM

**Theorem.** Let  $X, S \subseteq \kappa$  be stationary sets. The following are equivalent:

- $X$   $\mathfrak{f}$ -reflects to  $S$
- there is  $\vec{\mathcal{F}} = \langle \mathcal{F}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in S \rangle$  and  $F : 2^\kappa \rightarrow \kappa^\kappa$  a reduction from  $=_X^2$  to  $=_S^\kappa$  that captures  $\vec{\mathcal{F}}$ .

**Remark.**  $X$  stationary reflects to  $S$  if and only if there is  $F : 2^\kappa \rightarrow \kappa^\kappa$  a reduction from  $=_X^2$  to  $=_S^\kappa$  that captures the sequence of the club filters.

## QUESTION

Is it consistence that  $=_S^\kappa \not\hookrightarrow_B =_S^2$  holds for all stationary set  $S \subseteq \kappa$ ?

## CONTACT INFORMATION

**Web** [www.miguelmath.com](http://www.miguelmath.com)

**Email** [contact@miguelmath.com](mailto:contact@miguelmath.com)