# Connections between generalised Baire spaces and model theory

## Miguel Moreno University of Vienna Vilho, Yrjö and Kalle Väisälä Foundation of the Finnish Academy of Science and Letters

Fifth Workshop on Generalised Baire Spaces

3 February 2020

Miguel Moreno (5WGBS)

3 February 2020 1 / 35



- 1 Borel\* sets
- 2 The isomorphism relation
- 3 Classifiable theories in the Borel hierarchy
- 4 The division line Classifiable vs Unclassifiable

Borel\* sets

# Outline

Borel<sup>\*</sup> sets

- 2 The isomorphism relation
- 3 Classifiable theories in the Borel hierarchy
- 4 The division line Classifiable vs Unclassifiable

# Borel and $\Delta_1^1$ Sets

#### Definition

The collection of Borel subsets of  $\kappa^{\kappa}$  is the smallest set which contains the basic open sets and is closed under unions and intersections, both of length  $\kappa$ .

### Definition

 $A \subseteq \kappa^{\kappa}$  is an analytic if there is a closed subset F of the product space  $\kappa^{\kappa} \times \kappa^{\kappa}$  such that its projection  $pr(F) = \{\eta \in \kappa^{\kappa} \mid \exists \xi \in \kappa^{\kappa} (\eta, \xi) \in F\}$  is equal to A.

#### Definition

$${\sf A}\subseteq\kappa^\kappa$$
 is a  ${\sf \Delta}^1_1$  set if  ${\sf A}$  and  $\kappa^\kappaackslash{\sf A}$  are analytic sets.

## Borel\*-code

A tree T is a κ<sup>+</sup>, λ-tree if does not contain chains of length λ and its cardinality is less than κ<sup>+</sup>. It is *closed* if every chain has a unique supremum.

A pair (T, h) is a Borel\*-code if T is a closed κ<sup>+</sup>, κ-tree and h is a function with domain T such that if x ∈ T is a leaf, then h(x) is a basic open set and otherwise h(x) ∈ {∪, ∩}.

# Borel\*-game

For an element  $\eta \in \kappa^{\kappa}$  and a Borel\*-code (T, h), the *Borel\*-game*  $P^*(T, h, m)$  is played as follows. There are two players I and II. The

 $B^*(T, h, \eta)$  is played as follows. There are two players, I and II. The game starts from the root of T.

At each move, if the game is at node  $x \in T$  and  $h(x) = \cap$ , then I chooses an immediate successor y of x and the game continues from this y. If  $h(x) = \bigcup$ , then II makes the choice.

At limits the game continues from the (unique) supremum of the previous moves.

Finally, if h(x) is a basic open set, then the game ends, and **II** wins if and only if  $\eta \in h(x)$ .

## Borel\* sets

### Definition (Borel\*)

A set  $X \subseteq \kappa^{\kappa}$  is a Borel<sup>\*</sup>-set if there is a Borel<sup>\*</sup>-code (T, h) such that for all  $\eta \in \kappa^{\kappa}$ ,  $\eta \in X$  if and only if **II** has a winning strategy in the game  $B^{*}(T, h, \eta)$ .

We will write  $\mathbf{II} \uparrow B^*(T, h, \eta)$  when  $\mathbf{II}$  has a winning strategy in the game  $B^*(T, h, \eta)$  and  $\mathbf{I} \uparrow B^*(T, h, \eta)$  when  $\mathbf{I}$  has a winning strategy in the game  $B^*(T, h, \eta)$ .

#### Definition (Dual sets)

We say that X and Y are duals if there is a Borel<sup>\*</sup>-code (T, h) such that:

$$\eta \in X \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{II} \uparrow B^*(T, h, \eta),$$

$$\eta \in Y \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{I} \uparrow B^*(T,h,\eta),$$

# Separation Theorem

#### Theorem (Mekler-Väänänen)

Suppose A and B are disjoint analytic sets. There are Borel<sup>\*</sup> sets  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  such that  $A \subseteq C_0$  and  $B \subseteq C_1$ , and  $C_1$  and  $C_0$  are duals.

#### Fact

X is a Borel set if and only if there is a Borel\*-code (T, h) coding X with T a  $\kappa^+, \omega$ -tree.

#### Corollary

 X is Δ<sup>1</sup><sub>1</sub> if and only if there is a Borel\*-code (T, h) coding X such that for all η

$$\mathbf{II} \uparrow B^*(T,h,\eta) \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{I} \not\uparrow B^*(T,h,\eta).$$

• Borel  $\subseteq \Delta_1^1 \subseteq$  Borel\*.

The isomorphism relation

# Outline

Borel<sup>\*</sup> sets

- 2 The isomorphism relation
- 3 Classifiable theories in the Borel hierarchy
- 4 The division line Classifiable vs Unclassifiable

The isomorphism relation

# The isomorphism relation

Fix a relational language  $\mathcal{L} = \{P_n | n < \omega\}$ 

#### Definition

Let  $\pi$  be a bijection between  $\kappa^{<\omega}$  and  $\kappa$ . For every  $\eta \in \kappa^{\kappa}$  define the structure  $\mathcal{A}_{\eta}$  with domain  $\kappa$  and for every tuple  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$  in  $\kappa^n$ 

$$(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n) \in P_m^{\mathcal{A}_\eta} \Leftrightarrow \eta(\pi(m, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)) > 0$$

#### Definition

Given T a first-order complete countable theory in a countable vocabulary, we say that  $\eta, \xi \in \kappa^{\kappa}$  are  $\cong_T$  equivalent if

• 
$$\mathcal{A}_{\eta} \models T, \mathcal{A}_{\xi} \models T, \mathcal{A}_{\eta} \cong \mathcal{A}_{\xi}$$
  
or

•  $\mathcal{A}_{\eta} \nvDash T, \mathcal{A}_{\xi} \nvDash T$ 

# Some Division Lines

- Unstable theories
- Stable unsuperstable theories
- Superstable theories with DOP
- Superstable theories with OTOP
- Superstable theories with no DOP nor OTOP

The tools used to construct models of a theory T, strongly depends on what kind of theory is T.

The isomorphism relation

# Ehrenfeucht-Fraïssé Game

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be structures with domain  $\kappa$ , and  $\{X_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma < \kappa}$  an enumeration of the elements of  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\kappa)$  and  $\{f_{\gamma}\}_{\gamma < \kappa}$  an enumeration for all the functions with domain in  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\kappa)$  and range in  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(\kappa)$ . The game  $EF_{\omega}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  is played by **I** and **II** as follows.

In the *n*-th turn I chooses an ordinal  $\beta_n < \kappa$  such that  $X_{\beta_{n-1}} \subset X_{\beta_n}$ , and II an ordinal  $\theta_n < \kappa$  such that  $X_{\beta_n} \subseteq dom(f_{\theta_n}) \cap rang(f_{\theta_n})$  and  $f_{\theta_{n-1}} \subset f_{\theta_n}$ , the game starts with  $X_{\beta_0}$  and  $f_{\theta_0}$  as empty sets. The game finish after  $\omega$  moves.

The player **II** wins if  $\bigcup_{i < \omega} f_{\theta_i} : A \to B$  is a partial isomorphism, otherwise the player **I** wins.

# Classifiable theories

A first-order complete countable theory in a countable vocabulary is classifiable if it is a superstable theory with no DOP nor OTOP. We will write  $\mathbf{II} \uparrow EF_{\omega}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$  when  $\mathbf{II}$  has a winning strategy in the game  $EF_{\omega}^{\kappa}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B})$ .

## Fact

If T is a classifiable theory and  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  are models of T, then

$$\mathsf{II}\uparrow \textit{EF}^{\kappa}_{\omega}(\mathcal{A},\mathcal{B})\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{A}\cong \mathcal{B}.$$

### Theorem (Friedman-Hyttinen-Kulikov)

If T is a classifiable theory, then  $\cong_T$  is  $\Delta_1^1$ . Moreover, if T is classifiable not shallow, then  $\cong_T$  is not Borel.

## Corollary

Borel  $\neq \Delta_1^1$ .

Classifiable theories in the Borel hierarchy

# Outline

1 Borel\* sets

- 2 The isomorphism relation
- 3 Classifiable theories in the Borel hierarchy
- 4 The division line Classifiable vs Unclassifiable

Classifiable theories in the Borel hierarchy

# Reductions

A function  $f : \kappa^{\kappa} \to \kappa^{\kappa}$  is *Borel*, if for every open set  $A \subseteq \kappa^{\kappa}$  the inverse image  $f^{-1}[A]$  is a Borel subset of  $\kappa^{\kappa}$ .

Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be equivalence relations on  $\kappa^{\kappa}$ . We say that  $E_1$  is Borel reducible to  $E_2$ , if there is a Borel function  $f : \kappa^{\kappa} \to \kappa^{\kappa}$  that satisfies  $(x, y) \in E_1 \Leftrightarrow (f(x), f(y)) \in E_2$ .

We write  $E_1 \hookrightarrow_B E_2$  and we say that  $E_1$  is as most as complex as  $E_2$ .

# The Equivalence Modulo Non-stationary Ideals in GBS

Let  $\lambda < \kappa$  be a regular cardinal. We say that  $\eta, \xi \in \kappa^{\kappa}$  are  $=_{\lambda}$  equivalent if the set  $\{\alpha < \kappa | cof(\alpha) = \lambda \& \eta(\alpha) \neq \xi(\alpha)\}$  is not stationary.

#### Theorem (Hyttinen-M.)

Suppose T is a classifiable theory and  $\lambda < \kappa$  is a regular cardinal. Then  $\cong_T \hookrightarrow_B =_{\lambda}$ .

Proof

For every  $\alpha < \kappa$ , structures  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  with domain  $\kappa$ , the game  $\mathsf{EF}^{\kappa}_{\omega}(\mathcal{A} \upharpoonright_{\alpha}, \mathcal{B} \upharpoonright_{\alpha})$  is played by I and II as follows.

In the *n*-th turn I chooses an ordinal  $\beta_n < \alpha$  such that  $X_{\beta_n} \subset \alpha$ ,  $X_{\beta_{n-1}} \subset X_{\beta_n}$ , and II an ordinal  $\theta_n < \alpha$  such that  $dom(f_{\theta_n}), rang(f_{\theta_n}) \subset \alpha$ ,  $X_{\beta_n} \subseteq dom(f_{\theta_n}) \cap rang(f_{\theta_n})$  and  $f_{\theta_{n-1}} \subseteq f_{\theta_n}$ .

The game starts with  $X_{\beta_0}$  and  $f_{\theta_0}$  as empty sets, and finishes when one of the players cannot choose or after  $\omega$  moves.

The player **II** wins if  $\bigcup_{i < \omega} f_{\theta_i} : A \upharpoonright_{\alpha} \to B \upharpoonright_{\alpha}$  is a partial isomorphism, otherwise the player **I** wins.

# Proof

## Claim

For every pair of structures, A and B with domain  $\kappa$ , the following holds:

- $II \uparrow EF^{\kappa}_{\omega}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \iff II \uparrow EF^{\kappa}_{\omega}(\mathcal{A} \upharpoonright_{\alpha}, \mathcal{B} \upharpoonright_{\alpha})$  for club-many  $\alpha$ .
- $I \uparrow EF^{\kappa}_{\omega}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) \iff I \uparrow EF^{\kappa}_{\omega}(\mathcal{A} \upharpoonright_{\alpha}, \mathcal{B} \upharpoonright_{\alpha})$  for club-many  $\alpha$ .

### Definition

Given T a first-order complete countable theory in a countable vocabulary and  $\alpha \leq \kappa$ , define the relation  $R_{EF}^{\alpha} \subseteq \kappa^{\kappa} \times \kappa^{\kappa}$  as  $\eta \ R_{EF}^{\alpha} \xi$ :

- $\mathcal{A}_{\eta} \upharpoonright_{\alpha} \nvDash T$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{\xi} \upharpoonright_{\alpha} \nvDash T$ , or
- A<sub>η</sub> ↾<sub>α</sub> ⊨ T, A<sub>ξ</sub> ↾<sub>α</sub> ⊨ T and the player II has a winning strategy for the restricted game EF<sup>κ</sup><sub>ω</sub>(A<sub>η</sub> ↾<sub>α</sub>, A<sub>ξ</sub> ↾<sub>α</sub>).

Classifiable theories in the Borel hierarchy

# Proof

## Claim

For every T first-order complete countable theory in a countable vocabulary, there are club many  $\alpha$  such that  $R_{EF}^{\alpha}$  is an equivalence relation.

Define the reduction as follows.

For every  $\eta \in \kappa^{\kappa}$  define the function  $f_{\eta}$ , as:

- $f_{\eta}(\alpha)$  is a code in  $\kappa \setminus \{0\}$  for the  $R_{EF}^{\alpha}$  equivalence class for  $\mathcal{A}_{\eta} \upharpoonright_{\alpha}$ , when  $cf(\alpha) = \lambda$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\eta} \upharpoonright_{\alpha} \models T$ , and  $R_{EF}^{\alpha}$  is an equivalence relation;
- $f_{\eta}(\alpha) = 0$  in other case.

Classifiable theories in the Borel hierarchy

# The Cantor Space

The isomorphism relation and the equivalence modulo non-stationary ideals can be easily define in the generalised Cantor space  $2^{\kappa}$ .

$$\stackrel{\cong^2_T}{=} is \cong_T \cap (2^{\kappa} \times 2^{\kappa})$$
  
 $=^2_{\lambda} is =_{\lambda} \cap (2^{\kappa} \times 2^{\kappa})$ 

#### Theorem (Hyttinen-Kulikov-M.)

Denote by  $S_{\lambda}^{\kappa}$  the set  $\{\alpha < \kappa | cf(\alpha) = \lambda\}$ . Suppose T is a classifiable theory and  $\lambda < \kappa$  is a regular cardinal. If  $\Diamond(S_{\lambda}^{\kappa})$  holds, then  $\cong_{T}^{2} \hookrightarrow_{B} =_{\lambda}^{2}$ . The division line Classifiable vs Unclassifiable

# Outline

- Borel<sup>\*</sup> sets
- 2 The isomorphism relation
- 3 Classifiable theories in the Borel hierarchy
- 4 The division line Classifiable vs Unclassifiable

# Unstable

## Theorem (Friedman-Hyttinen-Kulikov)

If T is unstable, then  $\cong_T$  is not  $\Delta_1^1$ .

### Theorem (Friedman-Hyttinen-Kulikov)

Suppose  $\kappa = \lambda^+ = 2^{\lambda}$  and  $\lambda^{<\lambda} = \lambda$ . If T is an unstable, then  $=^2_{\lambda} \hookrightarrow_B \cong^2_T$ .

#### Theorem (Hyttinen-Kulikov-M.)

Suppose  $\kappa = \lambda^+ = 2^{\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda^{<\lambda} = \lambda$  and  $\Diamond(S_{\lambda}^{\kappa})$  holds. If T is a classifiable theory and T' is an unstable, then  $\cong_T^2 \hookrightarrow_B =_{\lambda}^2 \hookrightarrow_B \cong_{T'}^2$ .

# Stable unsuperstable

#### Question

Can it be proved in ZFC that if T is stable unsuperstable, then  $\cong_T$  is not  $\Delta_1^1$ ?

## Theorem (Friedman-Hyttinen-Kulikov)

Suppose for all  $\lambda < \kappa$ ,  $\lambda^{\omega} < \kappa$ . If T is a stable unsuperstable, then  $=^{2}_{\omega} \hookrightarrow_{B} \cong^{2}_{T}$ .

#### Theorem (Hyttinen-Kulikov-M.)

Suppose  $\kappa = \lambda^+$  and  $\lambda^{\omega} = \lambda$ . If T is a classifiable theory and T' is a stable unsuperstable theory, then  $\cong_T^2 \hookrightarrow_B =_{\omega}^2 \hookrightarrow_B \cong_{T'}^2$  and  $\cong_{T'}^2 \nleftrightarrow_B \cong_T^2$ .

# The Orthogonal Chain Property (OCP)

#### Definition

Given  $p \in S(A)$  and  $B \subseteq A$ , we say  $p \perp B$  if for every  $q \in S(A)$  that doesn't fork over B the following holds; for every a, b, and  $B' \supseteq A$ , if a realizes p, b realizes q,  $a \downarrow_A B'$  and  $b \downarrow_A B'$ , then  $a \downarrow_{B'} b$ .

#### Definition

A stable theory T has the property OCP if there exist  $\lambda_r(T)$ -saturated models of T of power  $\lambda_r(T)$ ,  $\{A_i\}_{i < \omega}$ , and  $a \notin \bigcup_{i < \omega} A_i$  such that for all  $i \leqslant j$ ,  $A_i \subseteq A_j$ ,  $t(a, \bigcup_{i < \omega} A_i)$  is not algebraic and for all  $j < \omega$ ,  $t(a, \bigcup_{i < \omega} A_i) \perp A_j$ .

## Theorem (Hyttinen-M.)

Suppose T is a classifiable theory, T' is a stable theory with the OCP, and  $\kappa$  is an inaccessible cardinal. Then  $\cong_T \hookrightarrow_B =_{\omega} \hookrightarrow_B \cong_{T'}$ 

# Superstable with DOP

## Definition (a-isolation)

Denote by  $F_{\omega}^{a}$  the set of pairs (p, A) with  $|A| < \omega$ , such that for some  $B \supseteq A$ ,  $p \in S(B)$ ,  $a \models p$  and  $stp(a, A) \vdash p$ .

From this isolation the notions of *a*-saturated, *a*-primary, and *a*-minimal are defined.

## Definition (DOP)

A theory T has the dimensional order property if there are a-saturated models  $(M_i)_{i<3}$ ,  $M_0 \subset M_1 \cap M_2$ ,  $M_1 \downarrow_{M_0} M_2$ , and the a-primary model over  $M_1 \cup M_2$  is not a-minimal over  $M_1 \cup M_2$ .

# Superstable with DOP

### Theorem (Friedman-Hyttinen-Kulikov)

If T is superstable with DOP and  $\kappa > \omega_1$ , then  $\cong_T$  is not  $\Delta_1^1$ .

### Theorem (Friedman-Hyttinen-Kulikov)

Suppose  $\kappa = \lambda^+ = 2^{\lambda}$  and  $\lambda^{<\lambda} = \lambda > 2^{\omega}$ . If T is superstable with DOP, then  $=_{\lambda}^2 \hookrightarrow_B \cong_T^2$ .

#### Theorem (Hyttinen-Kulikov-M.)

Suppose  $\kappa = \lambda^+ = 2^{\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda^{<\lambda} = \lambda > 2^{\omega}$  and  $\diamondsuit(S_{\lambda}^{\kappa})$  holds. If T is a classifiable theory and T' is superstable with DOP, then  $\cong_T^2 \hookrightarrow_B =_{\lambda}^2 \hookrightarrow_B \cong_{T'}^2$ .

# Superstable with S-DOP

## Definition (S-DOP)

We say that a theory T has the strong dimensional order property if the following holds:

There are a-saturated models  $(M_i)_{i < 3}$ ,  $M_0 \subset M_1 \cap M_2$ , such that

 $M_1 \downarrow_{M_0} M_2$ 

, and for every  $M_3$  a-primary model over  $M_1 \cup M_2$ , there is a non-algebraic type  $p \in S(M_3)$  orthogonal to  $M_1$  and to  $M_2$ , that does not fork over  $M_1 \cup M_2$ .

#### Theorem (M.)

Suppose T is a classifiable theory, T' is a superstable theory with the S-DOP,  $\lambda = (2^{\omega})^+$ , and  $\kappa$  an inaccessible cardinal. Then  $\cong_T \hookrightarrow_B =_{\lambda} \hookrightarrow_B \cong_{T'}$ 

# Superstable with OTOP

#### Theorem (Friedman-Hyttinen-Kulikov)

If T is stable with OTOP, then  $\cong_T$  is not  $\Delta_1^1$ .

#### Theorem (Friedman-Hyttinen-Kulikov)

Suppose  $\kappa = \lambda^+ = 2^{\lambda}$  and  $\lambda^{<\lambda} = \lambda$ . If T is superstable with OTOP, then  $=^2_{\lambda} \hookrightarrow_B \cong^2_T$ .

#### Theorem (Hyttinen-Kulikov-M.)

Suppose  $\kappa = \lambda^+ = 2^{\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda^{<\lambda} = \lambda$  and  $\Diamond(S_{\lambda}^{\kappa})$  holds. If T is a classifiable theory and T' is superstable with OTOP, then  $\cong_T^2 \hookrightarrow_B =_{\lambda}^2 \hookrightarrow_B \cong_{T'}^2$ .

# Sum up

Shelah's division lines can be studied in generalised descriptive set theory with two different approaches.

• By the Borel reducibility hierarchy.

Let  $H(\kappa)$  be the following property: If T is a classifiable theory and T' is not a classifiable theory, then  $\cong_T^2 \hookrightarrow_B \cong_{T'}^2$  and  $\cong_{T'}^2 \nleftrightarrow_B \cong_T^2$ .

## Theorem (Hyttinen-Kulikov-M.)

Suppose  $\kappa = \lambda^+$ ,  $2^{\lambda} > 2^{\omega}$  and  $\lambda^{<\lambda} = \lambda$ .

- 1 If  $\Diamond(S_{\omega}^{\kappa})$  and  $\Diamond(S_{\lambda}^{\kappa})$  hold, then  $H(\kappa)$  holds.
- It is consistent that H(κ) holds and there are 2<sup>κ</sup> equivalence relations strictly between ≃<sup>2</sup><sub>T</sub> and ≃<sup>2</sup><sub>T'</sub>.

# Sum up

Shelah's division lines can be studied in generalised descriptive set theory with two different approaches.

• By the different analytic sets.

### Theorem (Friedman-Hyttinen-Kulikov)

- If T is classifiable and Shallow, then  $\cong_T$  is Borel.
- If T is classifiable but not shallow, then  $\cong_T$  is  $\Delta_1^1$  but not Borel.
- If T is stable unsuperstable, then  $\cong_T$  is analytic but not Borel.
- If T is unstable or stable with OTOP, then  $\cong_T$  is analytic but not  $\Delta_1^1$ .
- If T is superstable with DOP and  $\kappa > \omega_1$ , then  $\cong_T$  is analytic but not  $\Delta_1^1$ .

# Sum up

By using both approaches at the same time:

Let  $G(\kappa)$  be the following property: If T is a countable first-order theory in a countable vocabulary, not necessarily complete, then one of the following holds:

- $\cong_T$  is  $\Delta_1^1$ .
- $\cong_T$  is  $\Sigma^1_1$ -complete.

## Theorem (Hyttinen-Kulikov-M.)

Suppose V = L.  $G(\kappa)$  holds for all  $\kappa$  successor of a regular uncountable cardinal  $\lambda$ .

## Theorem (Fernandes-M.-Rinot)

Suppose  $\kappa = \lambda^+$  and  $\lambda^{<\lambda} = \lambda > \omega$ . There exists a  $< \kappa$ -closed  $\kappa^+$ -cc forcing extension, in which  $G(\kappa)$  holds.

# Fake reflection

It is a consequence of  $\Pi_1^1$ -filter reflection with  $\diamondsuit$ ,  $DI_S^*(\Pi_1^1)$ .

## Definition (Filter reflection with $\diamondsuit$ )

Suppose X and S are stationary subsets of  $\kappa$ , and  $\vec{\mathcal{F}} = \langle \mathcal{F}_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in S \rangle$  is a sequence such that, for each  $\alpha \in S$ ,  $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}$  is a filter over  $\alpha$ .

- **1** We say that  $\vec{\mathcal{F}}$  captures clubs iff, for every club  $C \subseteq \kappa$ , the set  $\{\alpha \in S \mid C \cap \alpha \notin \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}\}$  is nonstationary;
- 2 We say that X *F*-reflects with ◊ to S iff *F* captures clubs and there exists a sequence ⟨Y<sub>α</sub> | α ∈ S⟩ such that, for every stationary Y ⊂ X, the set {α ∈ S | Y<sub>α</sub> = Y ∩ α & Y ∩ α ∈ F<sup>+</sup><sub>α</sub>} is stationary.

It can be forced by Sakai's forcing, Friedman-Holy's forcing, and Holy-Welch-Wu's forcing. It can be killed by  $Add(\kappa, \kappa^+)$ . It follows from V = L but also from Martin's Maximum.

The division line Classifiable vs Unclassifiable

# Questions

#### Question

Is  $\Delta_1^1 = Borel^*$  consistently true?

### Question

Can it be proved in ZFC that if T is stable unsuperstable, then  $\cong_T$  is not  $\Delta_1^1$ ?

#### Question

Ssuppose T is a first-order countable complete theory over a countable vocabulary. If T is stable unsuperstable, then T has the OCP?

The division line Classifiable vs Unclassifiable

### Thank you

# References

- G. Fernandes, M. Moreno, and A. Rinot, *Fake reflection*, preprint.
- S.D. Friedman, T. Hyttinen, and V. Kulikov, Generalized descriptive set theory and classification theory, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. Vol. 230/1081 (American Mathematical Society, 2014).
- T. Hyttinen, and M. Moreno, *On the reducibility of isomorphism relations*, Mathematical Logic Quarterly. 63, 175–192, 2017.
- T. Hyttinen, V. Kulikov, and M. Moreno, On  $\Sigma_1^1$ -completeness of Quasi-orders on  $\kappa^{\kappa}$ . Fundamenta Mathematicae. To appear.
- M. Moreno, The isomorphism relation of theories with S-DOP in generalized Baire spaces, (arXiv:1803.08070).
- A. Mekler, and J. Väänänen, *Trees and*  $\Pi_1^1$  *subsets of*  $\omega_1^{\omega_1}$ , J. Symbolic Logic. 58, 1052–1070, 1993.